

Renovate, Repurpose, & Build

BUILDING PLAN HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION

\$14.7 MILLION REFERENDUM

Grey Area: Renovated Space including HVAC Improvements **Pink Area:** Repurposed Space for STEM Instruction **Red Area:** Career Technical Education & Gym Additions **Pink & Red Areas Combined:** \$14.7 Million Referendum

Renovated Space:

63,400 SF - \$6.3 Million SAVE Fund No Levy Impact HVAC, ADA Compliance, & Parking Lot Improvements Safety, Exterior Repairs, & Floor Finishes

Repurposed Space:

14,100 SF - \$2.9 Million Science, Technology, Robotics, Math & Business Classrooms Changing Area ADA Compliance Expanded Commons

Build:

Career Technical Education Addition (18,200 SF - \$5.9 Million) Gym Addition (17,900 SF - \$5.9 Million)



Shenandoah CSD

Shenandoah Community School District www.shencsd.com

Financial Facts

\$14.7 Million Bond Referendum

- 1/1/2018 Taxable Valuation of District: 369, 572,715
- Estimated interest rate on the debt: 3.3% (approximately 0.50% more conservative than current rates)
- Estimated average annual debt payment: \$1,015,000
- Estimated levy rate to pay debt: \$2.70 / \$1000 (assuming no other District levy rates change)
- Average Overall District Historical Tax Rate (from FY2010-2020): \$15.56 / \$1000
- Overall District Tax Rate FY2020: \$14.60 / \$1000
- APPROXIMATE OVERALL TAX RATE IMPACT FOR DISTRICT (comparing FY2021 Estimate to FY2020: \$175)

					Estimated		
1/1/2018	1/1/2018	1/1/2018	Less: Est.		Tax Rate	Annual	Monthly
Assessed	Rollback	Taxable	Homestead	Net Taxable	Increase	Additional	Additional
Value	<u>Percentage</u>	Value	<u>Credit (1)(2)</u>	Value	<u>per \$1,000</u>	Tax	Tax
Residential Prop	perty						
\$50,000 x	56.9180% =	\$28,459 -	\$4,850 =	\$23,609 x	\$1.75 =	\$41.32	\$3.44
\$75,000 x	56.9180% =	\$42,689 -	\$4,850 =	\$37,839 x	\$1.75 =	\$66.22	\$5.52
\$100,000 x	56.9180% =	\$56,918 -	\$4,850 =	\$52,068 x	\$1.75 =	\$91.12	\$7.5
\$125,000 x	56.9180% =	\$71,148 -	\$4,850 =	\$66,298 x	\$1.75 =	\$116.02	\$9.67
\$150,000 x	56.9180% =	\$85,377 -	\$4,850 =	\$80,527 x	\$1.75 =	\$140.92	\$11.74
\$175,000 x	56.9180% =	\$99,607 -	\$4,850 =	\$94,757 x	\$1.75 =	\$165.82	\$13.82
\$200,000 x	56.9180% =	\$113,836 -	\$4,850 =	\$108,986 x	\$1.75 =	\$190.73	\$15.89
\$250,000 x	56.9180% =	\$142,295 -	\$4,850 =	\$137,445 x	\$1.75 =	\$240.53	\$20.04
\$300,000 x	56.9180% =	\$170,754 -	\$4,850 =	\$165,904 x	\$1.75 =	\$290.33	\$24.1
Multi-residential	(Grandfathering	down to "Resid	ential" rollback in	2022)			
\$100,000 ×	75.0000% =	\$75,000 -	\$0 =	\$75,000 x	\$1.75 =	\$131.25	\$10.94
Commercial Pro	operty						
\$100,000 x	90.0000% =	\$90,000 -	\$0 =	\$90,000 x	\$1.75 =	\$157.50	\$13.13
\$500,000 x	90.0000% =	\$450,000 -	\$0 =	\$450,000 x	\$1.75 =	\$787.50	\$65.63
\$1,000,000 x	90.0000% =	\$900,000-	\$0 =	\$900,000 x	\$1.75 =	\$1,575.00	\$131.25
Agricultural Pro	perty (land only o	n a per acre ba	sis)*				
\$1,400 x	56.1324% =	\$786 -	\$0 =	\$786 x	\$1.75 =	\$1.38	\$0.1
\$1,500 x	56.1324% =	\$842 -	\$0 =	\$842 x	\$1.75 =	\$1.47	\$0.1
\$1,600 x	56.1324% =	\$898 -	\$0 =	\$898 x	\$1.75 =	\$1.57	\$0.1
\$1,700 x	56.1324% =	\$954 -	\$0 =	\$954 x	\$1.75 =	\$1.67	\$0.1
\$1,713 x	56.1324% =	\$962 -	\$0 =	\$962 x	\$1.75 =	\$1.68	\$0.1 ⁴
\$1,800 x	56.1324% =	\$1,010 -	\$0 =	\$1,010 x	\$1.75 =	\$1.77	\$0.1
\$1,900 x	56.1324% =	\$1,067 -	\$0 =	\$1,067 x	\$1.75 =	\$1.87	\$0.1
\$2,000 x	56.1324% =	\$1,123 -	\$0 =	\$1,123 x	\$1.75 =	\$1.96	\$0.1
\$2,100 x	56.1324% =	\$1,179 -	\$0 =	\$1,179 x	\$1.75 =	\$2.06	\$0.1
			*1/	1/2017 Average A	ssessed Acre:	PAGE	\$1,71
			*1/	1/2017 Average A	ssessed Acre:	FREMONT	\$1,93
Number of Acres: 100			1/1/2017 Average Assessed Acre: State Productivity				\$1,92
Assessed Value	per Acre (5):	1,713	1/1/20	017 Average Mark	et Value Acre:	State Market	\$7,18
Å171 000 ···	F4 4400 0	<u> </u>	<u>Å0</u>	<u> </u>	Å4 7 5		Å10 (J
	54.4480% =	\$93,269 -	\$0 =	\$93,269 x	\$1.75 =	\$163.22	\$13.6
	dit may vary from Cc ead Credit funded by		aximum is \$4,850 100%				
estimated credi	,		nually				
3) No Existing GO		-	-				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ents through FY204		0	,	applicable		
5) Assumes Avera	ge Assessed Valuatio	n Per Acre of Lanc	l at PAGE County Av	verage			